Mary McLeod Bethune Elementary-Middle School

313-494-3830 | 8145 Puritan Street | Detroit, MI 48227 | K-8

2014-15 Enrollment: 587



2014-15 Demographics: Asian: 0% | Black: 100% | Hispanic: 0% | White: 0% | Economically Disadvantaged: 78% | Special Education: 6% Schools that commits to a coherent, innovative instructional & organizational strategy will foster a strong culture of learning that will engage students, families, and the community, accelerate student learning growth, and ensure that all students reach their fullest potential, in college and the workforce.

All EAA schools are evaluated using a School Performance Framework. The purpose of the Performance Framework is to comprehensively evaluate school performance, provide actionable feedback to improve schools, and inform targeted district support. The Performance Framework Categories, described below, incorporate multiple quantitative and qualitative measures of school performance that, in combination, provide a holistic picture of how a school is progressing and impacting student outcomes.

What ratings does my school receive?

Schools receive the following ratings:

- Overall Performance Framework Grade of A, B, C, D, or F (located on page 1 in the upper right hand corner)
- Category Grades of A, B, C, D, or F for each of the 6 categories described in the table below (located on page 1 in the table below and on subsequent pages in the left-most column)
- Metric Ratings of Very High, High, Intermediate, Low, or Very Low for the All Schools Comparison and Similar Schools Comparison (located on the subsquent pages)
- Metric Ratings of Significantly Improved, Improved, Maintained, Declined, or Significantly Declined for the Prior Year(s) Comparison (located in the right-most column on the subsequent pages)

What do the All Schools, Similar Schools, and Prior Year(s) Comparisons measure?

Similar Schools: Compares an EAA school's performance with the performance of a group of schools serving similar students

All Schools: Compares an EAA school's performance with the performance of all schools in Michigan, all schools in Detroit, or all schools in the EAA*

Prior Year(s) Performance: Compares an EAA school's performance with its own average performance for the three prior years*

Where can I find more information?

More information about the Performance Framework calculations and individual metric scores is in the Appendix of this report,

Overview of Performance Framework Category Grades							
Category	Description	Grades					
Strategic Commitment	A clear and coherent vision for school improvement is a critical factor in making significant student learning gains.	C					
Culture of Learning	Purposefully cultivating a culture of learning ensures that school staff and students are held to high standards of excellence, and these standards are clear and consistent across classrooms.						
Student, Family, & Community Engagement	Engaging students, families, and the community in the learning process is necessary to create a strong school community and have a lasting impact on student success.	C					
Growth Measures	The extent of students' progress from year to year is a direct result of schools contribution to student learning. Students who are furthest behind are most in need of making rapid progress.	D					
Academic Achievement	It is critical that students meet basic thresholds to ensure they have both the foundational skills and the prerequisites to advance in their education or career	F					
Prepared for Success	The extent to which students have exceeded basic thresholds determines their ability to succeed in the next phase of their life – additional educational advancement or career pursuits.	F					

^{*} Data availability varies by metrics; data for some metrics are only available for all schools in Detroit (e.g., 5 Essential Survey results) or all schools in the EAA (e.g., School Quality Review results). For the prior year(s) comparison, one, two, or three years of data may be included depending on availability.

	Metric	Current Year	Similar Schools	Comparison Rating	Prior Year(s
4.6		Current rear	Similar Schools	All Schools	Prior rearts
gory 1: Str	ategic Commitment				
	School Quality Review Domain 1 (out of 4)	2.1	low	Ław	NA.
	5 Essentials Survey Effective Leaders (out of 100)	37	Intermediate	Intermediate	Maîntained (-
	Category Ratings		Intermediate	tow	Maintained (-
gory 2: Cul	ture of Learning		07		
	School Quality Review Domain 2 (out of 4)	2.3	Intermediate	Intermediate	NA.
	5 Essentials Survey Ambitious Instruction (out of 100)	67	Very High	Intermediate	Significantly Improved
	5 Essentials Survey Collaborative Teachers (out of 100)	29	Intermediate	Low	Maintained (-
	Insight Survey Score (out of 10)	6.3	Very High	NA	NA.
	Percent of Teachers Retained from Previous Year (out of 100)	54%	Intermediate	NA	Maintained (-
	Percent of Highly Effective/Effective Teachers Retained from Previous Year (out of 100)	82%	High	NA NA	Maintained (-
	Percent of Teachers Absent 10 days or Fewer (out of 100)	Coming in 2015-16	NA	NA	NA .
	Staff Attendance Rate (out of 100)	Coming in 2015-16	NA	NA	NA NA
	Category Ratings		High	Intermediate	Maintained (4
gory 3: Co	nmunity, Family, & Student Engagement	1 2 2	-	11 15 15 1	
	School Quality Review Domain 3 (out of 4)	2.4	Intermediate	Intermediate	NA
	5 Essentials Survey Involved Families (out of 100)	31	Very High	Intermediate	Significantly Improved
	5 Essentials Survey Supportive Environment (out of 100)	50	High	Intermediate	Significantly Improved
	5 Essentials Survey Parent Survey (out of 100)	84	Very High	Kligh	Significantly Improved
	Percent of Students Retained from Previous Year (out of 100)	61%	Intermediate	NA	Maintained (
	Percent of Students Absent 10 days or Fewer (out of 100)	19%	Low	Very Low	Significantly Decl
	Student Attendance Rate (out of 100)	82%	low	Very Low	Significantly Decl
	Out of School Suspension Rate Per Day Per 1000 Students (out of 1000)	2.2	NA	NA	NA

		Comparison Ratings			
122-1-1	Metric	Current Year	Similar Schools	All Schools	Prior Year(s
egory 4: Stu	dent Growth				711
	Median Student Growth Percentile (SGP) Math (out of 100)	32.8	Intermediate	Very Low	NA
D	Median Student Growth Percentile (SGP) ELA (out of 100)	31.3	Low	Very Low	NA
	Median Student Growth Percentile (SGP) Social Studies (out of 100)	41.7	Very High	low	NA
	Median Student Growth Percentile (SGP) Science (out of 100)	31.5	Intermediate	Very Low	NA.
	Category Ratings		Intermediate	Very Low	NA NA
egory 5: Aca	ademic Achievement				
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in ELA (out of 100)	6.1%	Low	Very Low	NA
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Math (out of 100)	1.5%	Very Low	Very Low	NA.
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Science (out of 100)	0.9%	Intermediate	Very Low	NA .
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Social Studies (out of 100)	0.0%	Very Low	Very Low	NA
1 1 1	Category Ratings		Low	Very Low	NA
gory 6: Pre	pared for Success				
	Percent of 8th Graders Exceeding Standards on State Assessments (out of 100)	0.0%	Very Low	Very Low	NA.
F	Percent of 3rd Graders Exceeding Standards on State Assessments (out of 100)	0.8%	Łow	Very Low	NA
	Percent of Former 8th Graders on Track at end of 9th Grade (out of 100)	31%	NA	NA NA	NA
	Category Ratings		Very Low	Very Low	NA.